

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/825,871 | 04/16/2004 | Sen-Tay Chang | N1085-00205 | 8626 |
| 54657 | 7590 07/11/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| DUANE MORRIS LLP | | | EVERHART, CARIDAD | |
| | MENT (TSMC) 7TH STREET | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | HIA, PA 19103-4196 | | 2891 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/11/200 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ₹. |
|--------|
| |

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---------------------|----------------|--|
| 10/825,871 | CHANG, SEN-TAY | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Caridad M. Everhart | 2891 | |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below): (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 9-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 🕅 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: _____.



Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has argued that Welch et al does not teach or suggest the limitation "and when processing is idle". In support of this, applicant has further argued that paragraph 0098 of Welch et al requires that the reaction start in order for the dome temperature to be controlled and that the reference does not support the control of temperature during idling. This argument is respectfully considered to be not persuasive for the following reasons. In Fig. 6, the increasing curves are prior art arrangements, rather then the invention of Welch et al (paragraph 0099). The line which is relatively horizontal is the results for the invention of Welch et al and indicates that the temperature is constant when the system is not in the mode of a plasma reaction is being carried out(paragraph 0099). In paragraph 0099, Welch et al state that the final temperature is reached alsmost immediately, and the line shown in Fig. 6 at 115 degrees C. would indicate that the start temperature at time zero is about 115, as is the final temperature, so that it would imply that the idling temperature was controlled at 115 as well as the temperature during reaction. From Fig. 7, it can be seen that the temperature between the reaction times is maintained relatively horizontal, indicating that the temperature control is maintained between reaction times. T1 is a start time and T2 is the time when bias is applied to the substrate, and T3 is the time through which the reaction is carried out(paragraph 0101).

2